




Shape the next European Cost Benefit Analysis Methodology (CBA 2.0)

Overview

The CBA methodology is developed to evaluate the benefits and costs of **TYNDP** [<https://www.entsoe.eu/major-projects/ten-year-network-development-plan/Pages/index.html>](https://www.entsoe.eu/major-projects/ten-year-network-development-plan/Pages/index.html) projects (transmission and storage) from a pan-European perspective, providing important input for the selection process of PCIs. The main objective of this CBA methodology is to provide a common and uniform basis for the assessment of projects with regard to their value for European society.



Why we are consulting

The previous stakeholders' feedback and the ENTSO-E experience with the current CBA 1.0 (used in the TYNDP 2014 and 2016) has clearly shown the need of improving the European project assessment methodology. Based on this **ENTSO-E has drafted a new Cost Benefit Analysis methodology (CBA 2.0)** [<https://consultations.entsoe.eu/system-development/cba-2-0/++preview++open/supporting_documents/160407_CBA%20%200_for%20web%20consultation_25%20April%20%2031%20May%202016.pdf>](https://consultations.entsoe.eu/system-development/cba-2-0/++preview++open/supporting_documents/160407_CBA%20%200_for%20web%20consultation_25%20April%20%2031%20May%202016.pdf) which is now put forward for consultation until 31 May.

A number of elements were improved compared to the existing CBA. The most relevant changes relate to:

- security of supply
- cost
- clustering
- losses
- storage assessment

Introduction

1 What is your name?

(Required)

2 What is your email address?

This is optional, but if you enter your email address then you will be able to return to edit your consultation at any time until you submit it. You will also receive an acknowledgement email when you complete the consultation.

Email

3 What is your organisation?

(Required)

General questions

4 What is, from your perspective, the purpose of an European CBA methodology ?

5 Having in mind the variety of users and usage of the CBA, would you choose a multi-criteria or one figure approach?

6 Is the CBA 2.0 methodology easy to understand and apply?

7 Is the method of calculating the indicators easy to understand? If not how can we improve?

8 Do you think the set of proposed indicators gives sufficient information about the project costs and benefits? Please justify your answer.

Specific questions

ENTSO-E has made significant changes in the CBA 2.0 methodology compared to the previous version. These changes relate to the elements listed below. We kindly ask you to express your views on each of them. What do you find positive or negative related to these changes? What and how can we improve?

- clustering rule
- SOS indicator
- cost indicator
- losses indicator
- storage assesment

9 clustering rule

10 SOS indicator

11 cost indicator

12 losses indicator

13 storage assessment

Any other suggestions for improvement which were not covered by the previous questions

14 Please add your additional suggestions for improvement below.